

## Homework IV Solutions

### Problems 4 and 6

4) Let  $A$  be a finitely generated  $k$ -algebra ( $k$  a field), then show that  $A$  is an Artinian ring if and only if  $A$  is finite-dimensional as a  $k$ -vector space.

▷ Suppose that  $A$  is finite-dimensional as a  $k$ -vector space, consider a descending chain of submodules  $A = M \supset M_1 \supset M_2 \dots$ . Since  $k \subset A$ , each  $M_i$  is in particular a  $k$ -vector space. Since  $A$  is finite-dimensional as a  $k$ -vector space,  $M_i$  are finite-dimensional as  $k$ -vector spaces. Now, it must be that the chain stabilises, as otherwise, we would have an infinite descending chain of finite-dimensional  $k$ -vector spaces, which is a contradiction. Thus,  $A$  is Artinian.

Conversely, suppose that  $A$  is Artinian. We give two different arguments for showing that  $A$  is finite dimensional  $k$ -vector space. Note that as  $A$  is a finitely generated algebra, by Hilbert basis theorem, we know that it is Noetherian.

First argument: By Noether normalisation, we can find a polynomial ring  $k[a_1, \dots, a_d] \subset A$  such that  $A$  is integral over  $k[a_1, \dots, a_d]$ . But  $\dim A = 0$ , so  $d = 0$  by Cohen-Seidenberg, which means  $A$  is integral over  $k$ . Now,  $A$  is a finitely generated algebra over  $k$  and is integral over  $k$ , hence it has to be a finitely generated  $k$ -module, which means it is a finite dimensional  $k$ -vector space.

Second argument: Since  $A$  is Artinian, it has a composition series  $A = M_0 \supsetneq M_1 \supsetneq \dots \supsetneq M_n = \{0\}$  such that each module  $M_i/M_{i+1}$  is a simple  $A$ -module. Simple  $A$ -modules are isomorphic to some  $A/\mathfrak{m}$  for a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ . But,  $A/\mathfrak{m}$  is a field that is finitely generated over  $k$ , since  $A$  is finitely generated over  $k$ , hence by Zariski's lemma,  $A/\mathfrak{m}$  is a finite field extension of  $k$ , hence, it is a finite-dimensional vector space over  $k$ . But now, we see by induction that, as a  $k$ -vector space  $A$  is isomorphic to  $M_0/M_1 \oplus M_1/M_2 \oplus \dots \oplus M_{n-1}/M_n$  which is a finite-dimensional  $k$ -vector space since each  $M_i/M_{i+1}$  is so.

6) Suppose that  $A$  is a ring with the property that  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  has no nilpotent elements for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$ . Show that  $A$  has no nilpotent elements. If each  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is an integral domain, must  $A$  be an integral domain?

▷ Suppose that  $A$  has a nilpotent element, that is  $x \in A$  such that  $x^n = 0$  for some  $n > 1$ . Let  $\text{ann}(x) = \{a \in A : ax = 0\}$  be the annihilator ideal of  $x$ . In fact, it is a proper ideal since  $1 \notin \text{ann}(x)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{m} \supset \text{ann}(x)$  be a maximal ideal containing  $\text{ann}(x)$ . Consider the localisation  $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ . We claim that  $\frac{x}{1} \neq 0 \in A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ . Indeed, if  $\frac{x}{1} = 0 \in A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ , there exists  $s \in A \setminus \mathfrak{m}$  such that  $sx = 0$ , but such  $s \in \text{ann}(x) \subset \mathfrak{m}$ . Therefore, it follows that  $\frac{x}{1} \neq 0 \in A_{\mathfrak{m}}$  but  $(\frac{x}{1})^n = \frac{x^n}{1} = 0$ , hence  $\frac{x}{1}$  is a nilpotent element in  $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ , which is a contradiction to the hypothesis of the problem. Hence,  $A$  has no nilpotent elements.

Take any field  $k$  (for example  $k = \mathbb{F}_2$ ) and consider  $A = k \times k$ . Then,  $(1, 0) \cdot (0, 1) = 0$  hence  $A$  is not an integral domain, but the only prime ideals in  $A$  are  $k \times (0)$  and  $(0) \times k$  (since for any

ideal  $I \subset k \times k$ ,  $I \cap k \times \{0\}$  and  $I \cap \{0\} \times k$  are ideals), and their localisations are easily seen to be isomorphic to  $k$ , hence are integral domains (in fact, they are isomorphic to fields).